

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,099	PARK, SANG JUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) K. Takeguchi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

Kim and Humphrey

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant's representative to inform her that claim 19 was still considered unpatentable over Kim in view of Humphrey. The examiner suggested that "guided" be changed to rotates, so as to define over Kim. The rotation guide of Kim (16) translates; not rotates, and with the change, claim 19 would obviate any rejection over the prior art..